

## Message

**From:** Gunasekara, Mandy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=53D1A3CAA8BB4EBAB8A2D28CA59B6F45-GUNASEKARA,]  
**Sent:** 9/6/2017 8:30:04 PM  
**To:** Catanzaro, Michael J. EOP/WHO  
**Subject:** FW: Around? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Just for background – I'll follow up with e-connect to Mike.

---

**From:** Bowman, Liz  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 6, 2017 3:33 PM  
**To:** Gunasekara, Mandy <Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Around?

Thanks, make sure to tell him it's on background.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 6, 2017, at 2:54 PM, Gunasekara, Mandy <[Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov](mailto:Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov)> wrote:

Never mind – I'll call the number below.

---

**From:** Bowman, Liz  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 6, 2017 2:52 PM  
**To:** Gunasekara, Mandy <[Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov](mailto:Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Around?

Can you call him??

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Spector, Mike" <[mike.spector@wsj.com](mailto:mike.spector@wsj.com)>  
**Date:** September 6, 2017 at 2:38:18 PM EDT  
**To:** "Bowman, Liz" <[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto:Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: Around?

Hi there. Are we doing this call now?

On Wed, Sep 6, 2017 at 1:54 PM, Bowman, Liz <[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto:Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)> wrote:

Hi Mike – One of our experts can call you at 2:30 p.m. to talk on background. Does that work? Also, we think you should reach out to Mike Catanzaro at the WH – he is probably the best person to speak to about this. Thanks – Liz

---

**From:** Spector, Mike [mailto:[mike.spector@wsj.com](mailto:mike.spector@wsj.com)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 6, 2017 12:04 PM

**To:** Bowman, Liz <[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto:Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** Fwd: Around?

Hi Liz,

I'm taking this story to the finish line that you had discussed with John Stoll.

It's CARB's position that they haven't been invited to have discussions at the national level about the MTE. Do you have any updates on what you provided John below? I've listened to today's public hearing.

Just want to make sure I have the agency's latest point of view on this.

Thanks and best,

Mike

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Stoll, John** <[john.stoll@wsj.com](mailto:john.stoll@wsj.com)>

Date: Mon, Aug 28, 2017 at 1:08 PM

Subject: Fwd: Around?

To: Mike Spector <[mike.spector@wsj.com](mailto:mike.spector@wsj.com)>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Stoll, John** <[john.stoll@wsj.com](mailto:john.stoll@wsj.com)>

Date: Wed, Aug 23, 2017 at 6:25 PM

Subject: Re: Around?

To: "Bowman, Liz" <[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto:Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)>

would love to schedule that call.

On Wed, Aug 23, 2017 at 6:24 PM, Bowman, Liz <[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto:Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)> wrote:

Hi John – Sorry for the delay in getting back to you. We are happy to meet with any and all interested stakeholders, including states, about the Midterm Evaluation. The public hearing invites anyone to participate, and EPA's door is open. Same applies to the public comment period, anyone can provide comments and data to inform the review. It is my understanding that CARB technical staff had at least one meeting recently, in regard to the MTE with OTAQ. And, we would be happy to meet with CARB. If you want to schedule a call to talk about this issue on background with one of our folks who work on this issue, please let me know. Thanks – Liz

From: Stoll, John [mailto:[john.stoll@wsj.com](mailto:john.stoll@wsj.com)]  
 Sent: Wednesday, August 23, 2017 2:51 PM  
 To: Bowman, Liz <[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto: Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)<mailto:[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto: Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)>>  
 Subject: Re: Around?

Ok. I've been told by a few folks involved that they are saying they have not been invited to talks that appear to be taking place without them. So your guidance is helpful.

This here -- at about 10 minutes -- CARB's Annette Hebert utters what seems like a complaint to the interviewers. I was at this event in Traverse City -- an just sharing this so you have context....this is not the sole basis for the story.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/in%3Asent+carb?compose=15e1069bb16a4e85&projector=1>

On Wed, Aug 23, 2017 at 2:44 PM, Bowman, Liz  
 <[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto: Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)<mailto:[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto: Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)>> wrote:  
 Hi John – Off the record: Let me see what I can find out about this, I am pretty sure that anyone who wants to participate can. Thanks – Liz

From: Stoll, John [mailto:[john.stoll@wsj.com](mailto:john.stoll@wsj.com)<mailto:[john.stoll@wsj.com](mailto:john.stoll@wsj.com)>]  
 Sent: Wednesday, August 23, 2017 2:39 PM  
 To: Bowman, Liz <[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto: Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)<mailto:[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto: Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)>>  
 Subject: Around?

working on a story about California complaining about not being invited into the re-opened midterm review of fuel econ standards. Can you chat briefly?

--

John Stoll  
 Wall Street Journal  
 248 229 1327

---

John Stoll  
 Wall Street Journal  
 248 229 1327

---

John Stoll

Wall Street Journal

248 229 1327

---

John Stoll

Wall Street Journal

248 229 1327

--

Mike Spector  
The Wall Street Journal  
1211 Avenue of the Americas  
5th Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 416-2572 (office)  

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

 (cell)  
[mike.spector@wsj.com](mailto:mike.spector@wsj.com)

--

Mike Spector  
The Wall Street Journal  
1211 Avenue of the Americas  
5th Floor  
New York, NY 10036

(212) 416-2572 (office)  
Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (cell)  
[mike.spector@wsj.com](mailto:mike.spector@wsj.com)